Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY, LLP (ACCENTURE) PLAZA VII, SUITE 3300 45 SOUTH SEVENTH STREET MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-1609

**COPY MAILED** 

FEB 0 8 2005

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of Michel K. Bowman-Amuah Application No. 10/647,411 Filed: August 25, 2003

Attorney Docket No. 060021-376302
For: Context Sensitive Advertisement

Delivery Framework

DECISION REFUSING TO ACCORD STATUS UNDER 37 CFR 1.47(b)

This is in response to the petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b), filed June 22, 2004.

The petition is dismissed.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within TWO (2) MONTHS from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. Any response should be entitled "Request for Reconsideration of Petition Under 37 CFR 1.47(b)" and may include an oath or declaration executed by the inventor. Failure to respond will result in abandonment of the application.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.47(b) requires:

- proof that the non-signing inventor cannot be reached or refuses to sign the oath or declaration;
- (2) an acceptable oath or declaration,

(3) the petition fee;

- a statement of the last known address of the non-signing inventor,
- (5) proof of proprietary interest; and
- (6) proof of irreparable damage.

## Applicant lacks item (1).

As to item (1), petitioner must establish that the non-signing inventor refused to sign the oath or declaration after being presented with the application papers. Petitioner has failed to establish that the non-signing inventor was presented with a copy of the application. An inventor cannot sign a declaration stating he or she has "reviewed and understands the application papers" when the inventor has not been presented with a copy of the application and therefore has not reviewed the application. Refusal within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.47 requires that the inventor be presented with the application papers and given adequate time to review the contents of the application. Until the inventor reviews the papers and reads the specification and claims, he cannot know if he is actually an inventor of the claimed invention. Petitioner should send a copy of the application to the inventor along with instructions setting a deadline or a statement that no response will constitute a refusal. This sort of ultimatum lends support to a finding of refusal by conduct. The proof of the pertinent events should be made by a statement of someone with firsthand knowledge of the events and should include documentary evidence, such as a certified mail return receipt, cover letter of instructions, telegram, etc.

<sup>1</sup> It is not sufficient for an applicant to simply make the papers available. See MPEP, Section 409.03(d).

The petition fee of \$130 will be charged to petitioner's deposit account.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail:

Mail Stop Petition Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By facsimile: (703) 872-9306

Àttn: Office of Petitions

If a request for reconsideration is filed, and a decision on the new petition is not received within three months, petitioner may wish to call the number below to check on the status of the renewed petition.

Telephone inquiries should be directed to Petitions Attorney Steven Brantley at (571) 272-3203.

Charles Steven Brantley

**Petitions Attorney** Office of Petitions